4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | - 15 |

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

JEROME JULIUS BROWN,

Case No. C19-295 RSM

Plaintiff,

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

v.

USPS PMG,

Defendants.

Pro se Plaintiff Jerome Julius Brown has been granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis in this matter. Dkt. #4. The Complaint was posted on the docket on March 21, 2019. Dkt. #5. Summons has not yet been issued.

Mr. Brown brings suit against the United States Postal Service and various postal officials. Dkt. #5. The facts and causes of action in this Complaint are not written in a coherent fashion. In an apparently erroneous citation, Mr. Brown states this is a case for restitution for injuries under 18 U.S.C. § 1106. For "amount in controversy" Mr. Brown states "John Brennan title company, Liber 6261 Folio 844 being the same as Liber 5348 Folio 480 Pat(ies) Jerome Julie & Patricia Ann Brown no consideration to sell." *Id.* at 5. The Court cannot understand what Mr. Brown is saying here. Under "statement of claim," Mr. Brown similarly writes "no consideration to sell," and other out-of-context phrases. Mr. Brown states

that Megan Jane Brennan owes him \$5,838,070.00 on a promissory note. *Id.* at 5. Where his Complaint contemplates summarizing this promissory note, Mr. Brown has included a photocopy of what appears to be an unrelated docket entry from another case. *See id.* at 6. Mr. Brown attaches a full page from a docket in another case with dates for docket entries in July of 2012. *Id.* at 7. Mr. Brown mentions "fraudulent title" and "forgery signatures" without explanation. *Id.* at 8. Under the section for "relief," Mr. Brown states only "cracked foundation, a crack in basement wall below water meter tunk [sic], relief a repair company ASAP, actual damages." *Id.* at 9. At the end of the Complaint, where the form he is using includes three signature lines for multiple plaintiffs, Mr. Brown signs this document three times.

The Court will dismiss a Complaint at any time if the action fails to state a claim, raises frivolous or malicious claims, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B).

As an initial matter, the Complaint fails to set forth a claim for relief as required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a). There are almost no facts or coherent citations to law. Mr. Brown fails to state a claim against the named Defendant. Plaintiff's Complaint appears to suffer from deficiencies that require dismissal. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B).

In Response to this Order, Plaintiff must write a short and plain statement telling the Court (1) the law or laws upon which his claims are based, (2) the facts giving rise to those claims and how Defendant USPS is connected to this case, and (3) why this case should not be dismissed as frivolous. **This Response may not exceed six (6) pages**. Plaintiff is not to file additional pages as attachments. The Court will take no further action in this case until Plaintiff has submitted this Response.

Accordingly, the Court hereby finds and ORDERS that Plaintiff shall file a Response to this Order to Show Cause containing the detail above no later than twenty-one (21) days from the date of this Order. Failure to file this Response will result in dismissal of this case.

DATED this 22^{ND} day of March 2019.

RICARDO S. MARTINEZ

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE